UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V

Judgment in a Criminal Case (For Revocation of Probation or Supervised Release)

Andres Gonzales

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:02CR01892-001JB

USM Number: 12273-051

Defense Attorney: Margaret Katze, Appointed

THE DEFENDANT:					
· ·	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) Mandatory of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.				
The defendant is adjudic	ated guilty of these violations:				
Violation Number Nature of Violation			Violation Ended		
Mandatory Condition The defendant failed to refrain from controlled substance.		from the unlawful use of a	05/17/2016		
The defendant is sentence Reform Act of 1984.	ed as provided in pages 1 through 3 of	of this judgment. The senten	ce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing		
☐ The defendant has no	ot violated condition(s) and is discha	arged as to such violation(s).			
name, residence, or mail		, costs, and special assessme	r this district within 30 days of any change of nts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. y of material changes in economic		
9648		October 28, 2016	October 28, 2016		
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition o	Date of Imposition of Judgment		
1955		/s/ James O. Brow	vning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge		
		Honorable James	O Browning		
Albuquerque, NM			United States District Judge		
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of Judge			
		November 3, 2016	5		
		Date Signed			

Judgment - Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Andres Gonzales
Case Number: 1:02CR01892-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 10 months.

A term of supervised release will not be reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 10 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

Ker	IOI III ACC.				
- 1	The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:				
\boxtimes	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.				
	·				
	at on				
	as notified by the United States Marshal.				
	·				
	before 2 p.m. on				
	as notified by the United States Marshal.				
	as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.				
	RETURN				
	RETURN				
I ha	ave executed this judgment as follows:				
. .					
Defendant delivered on					
	at	with a Certified copy of this Judgment.			
		IDUTED OTATES MADOUAL			
		UNITED STATES MARSHAL			
		Ву			
		DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL			